

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,905	01/17/2001	Stephen L. Gordon	SLG-I	3536
7	590 05/08/2003			,
Stephen L. Gordon 6361 Grey Sea Way Columbia, MD 21045			EXAMINER	
			PUNIT, PRAKASH C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2175	
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Öffice Action Summary		Applicati n N . Applicant(s)				
		09/760,905	GORDON, STEPHEN L.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Prakash C Punit	2175			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period f r Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)[🛛	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.					
4a)-Of-the-above-claim(s)is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9)[] 1	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 DOV POPOVICI  Attachment(s)						
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100						
2) D Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	_	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			
S Patent and Tra	daniel Office					

Art Unit: 2175

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 13, the meaning of the phrase "said extracted database may be made available in the form of a report" is unclear, vague and indefinite in the context of the claim. More—specifically, it is unclear as to how a database can be made available in the form of a report.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 12 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brynjestad (U.S. Patent No. 5,908,383).

As to claim 12, <u>Brynjestad</u> teaches a media-borne ergonomics resource system including:

ergonomics resources (i.e. knowledge-based expert system) embedded in a storage media (see column 3, lines 21-24; where "ergonomic resources" is read on "knowledge based expert

Art Unit: 2175

systems"; all computer programs are storable on a media), said resources including at least one

ergonomics program (i.e. PMA program) for a work-site of interest (i.e. treatment plan) (see

column 3, lines 10-33; where "ergonomics resources" is read on "knowledge based expert

systems"), which, in turn, includes at least one database (see Fig. 2, element 54) relating; said

work-site of interest (i.e. therapy for pain) (see column 4, lines 27-35);

a computer with means for interfacing with said media (see column 3, lines 41-53), downloading said ergonomics resources (see column 6, lines 22-23; the user computer has the downloading capability which implies any program available on the internet can be downloaded), and extracting said at least one database (54) for said work-site of interest (see

column 6, lines 41-54; where "work-site of interest" is read on "treatment plan").

As to claim 14, <u>Brynjestad</u> teaches media-borne ergonomics resource system, wherein said at least one ergonomics program is a plurality of ergonomics programs (see column 3, line 21-24; also see column 3, line 54 through column 4, line 13) and said at least one database is a plurality of databases (see column 4, lines 32-34).

As to claim 15, <u>Brynjestad</u> teaches media-borne ergonomics resource system, wherein said at least one ergonomics program is one ergonomics program (see column 3, lines 7-24; where "ergonomic program" is read on "knowledge-based expert systems").

As to claim 16, <u>Brynjestad</u> teaches media-borne ergonomics resource system, wherein said system is an expert system (see Fig. 3, element 102; also see column 3, lines 21-24) and said

at least one program may be understood and implemented by laymen in the field of ergonomics (see column 3, lines 60-67; where computer is designed with a touch-screen to facilitate use by laymen).

As to claim 17, Brynjestad teaches media-borne ergonomics resource system, wherein said at least one ergonomics program conforms to government regulations (see column 5, lines 53-62; where "government regulations" is read on "based on World Health Organization").

As to claim 18, Brynjestad teaches media-borne ergonomics resource system wherein said plurality of databases includes at least one ancillary database (see column 4, lines 13-16; where "ancillary database" is read on "database (26) providing information on drugs").

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-11, 13 and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brynjestad (U.S. Patent No. 5,908,383) in view of Mears et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,438,580).

As to claim 1, Brynjestad teaches an ergonomics resource system comprising:

Art Unit: 2175

an interactive web-site including certain ergonomics resources (see abstract; where "ergonomics resources" is read on "knowledge based expert systems"), said resources including at least one ergonomics program (i.e. PMA Patient program) (see column 2, lines 6-12; also see column 3, lines 41-51) that includes, in turn, at least one database (54);

a computer remote from said web-site (see column 3, lines 49-53; also see column 4, lines 16-19; where "remote" is read on "internet");

access means for interactively connecting said web-site and said remote computer (see column 3, lines 49-53; the client workstations are connected to the server through LAN/WAN for sharing information stored in the database); and,

<u>Brynjestad</u> does not expressly disclose means to provide at least one report related to said ergonomics resources.

Mears et al. discloses means to provide at least one report related to said ergonomics resources (see Mears et al., column 1, lines 56-60; where "report" is read on "information page").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Brynjestad</u> to include means to provide at least one report related to said ergonomics resources.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Brynjestad</u> by the teachings of <u>Mears et al.</u>, because by having a means to provide at least one report related to said ergonomics resources, provides the ability to display information in a web browser (see <u>Mears et al.</u>, column 2, lines 16-21).

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 2, <u>Brynjestad</u> as modified teaches the ergonomics resource system wherein: said ergonomics resource system is an expert system usable by laymen (see column 3, lines 7-10; also see column 3, lines 60-65; the computer is equipped with touch-screen for patient data input implies it is designed for use by laymen);

said at least one database includes controls (i.e. rules) for at least one work-site (see Fig. 5; also see column 4, line 58 through column 5, line 18; where "worksite" is read on "treatment" and database (108) has the information stored which is retrieved in the form of web pages depending on the type of treatment);

said remote computer may be operated by a user (i.e. patient or care provider or pain specialist) of said system (see column 3, lines 49-52; also see column 6, lines 9-12; where a patient is a user of the system);

includes controls (i.e. rules) for said at least one work-site (see column 4, line 58 through column 5, line 18; where "worksite" is read on "treatment" and database (108) has the information stored which is retrieved in the form of web pages depending on the type of treatment).

Brynjestad as modified does not expressly disclose said report, observable at said remote computer.

Mears et al. discloses said report, observable at said remote computer (see Mears et al., column 4, lines 47-52; where "report" is read on "information page").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Brynjestad</u> as modified to include said report, observable at said remote computer.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Brynjestad</u> as modified by the teachings of <u>Mears et al.</u>, because by having the report, observable at the remote computer, provides the ability to display information in a web browser (see <u>Mears et al.</u>, column 2, lines 16-21).

As to claim 3, <u>Brynjestad</u> teaches a computerized ergonomics resource system comprising:

an interactive web-site including certain ergonomics resources (see abstract; where "ergonomics resources" is read on "knowledge based expert systems"), said-resources including a plurality of ergonomics programs (i.e. PMA programs) (see column 2, lines 6-12; also see column 3, lines 41-51) that includes, in turn, at least one database (54); that include, in turn, at least one database (54) for a plurality of specific work-sites (see column 4, lines 27-35; where "work-sites" is read on "pain management rules");

a computer remote from said web-site that may be operated by a user (i.e. patient or care provider or pain specialist) of said system (see column 3, lines 49-52; also see column 4, lines 13-19);

access means for interactively connecting said web-site and said remote computer (see column 4,lines 21-32);

means contained within said web-site for proposing certain questions to a user (see column 6, lines 9-11), obtaining answers thereto from a user (see column 6, lines 11-16), and analyzing said answers for certain to ergonomics related information (see Fig. 5, element 518;

Art Unit: 2175

also see column 6, lines 17-20; also see column 2, lines 22-24), all to define a work-site of interest (see column 6, lines 19-23; where "work-site of interest" is read on "treatment plan");

means for extracting a specific ergonomics program (i.e. PMA program) from said database related to said work-site of interest (see column 6, lines 41-54; where "work-site of interest" is read on "treatment plan"); and,

means for making said extracted ergonomics program (i.e. PMA program) available to a user (see column 3, lines 54-67).

<u>Brynjestad</u> does not expressly disclose in the form of one or more reports.

Mears et al. discloses in the form of one or more reports (see Mears et al., column 4, lines-47-52; where "report" is read on "information page").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Brynjestad</u> to include in the form of one or more reports.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Brynjestad</u> by the teachings of <u>Mears et al.</u>, because by having one or more reports, provides the ability to display information in a web browser (see <u>Mears et al.</u>, column 2, lines 16-21).

As to claim 4, <u>Brynjestad</u> as modified teaches the computerized ergonomics resource system, with specific controls (i.e. rules) related to said work-site of interest (see column 4, line 56 through column 5, line 19; where "work-site of interest" is read on "treatment plan").

<u>Brynjestad</u> does not expressly disclose said one or more reports includes a report.

Mears et al. discloses said one or more reports includes a report (see Mears et al., column

4, lines 47-52; where "report" is read on "information page").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Brynjestad</u> as modified to include in the form of one or more reports.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Brynjestad</u> as modified by the teachings of <u>Mears et al.</u>, because by having one or more reports, provides the ability to display information in a web browser (see <u>Mears et al.</u>, column 2, lines 16-21).

As to claim 5, <u>Brynjestad</u> as modified teaches the computerized ergonomics resource system, wherein said system is an expert system (see Fig. 3, element 102) and said report may be understood and said ergonomics program (i.e. PMA program) implemented, by laymen in the field of ergonomics (see column 3, lines 60-67; where a touch-screen is designed for laymen).

As to claim 6, <u>Brynjestad</u> as modified teaches the computerized ergonomics resource system, wherein said means for proposing questions (see Fig. 4A, 4B and 4C; also see column 5, lines 9-11), obtaining answers (i.e. responses) (see column 5, lines 12-16), analyzing answers (see column 6, lines 17-20; where recommending treatment plan implies responses from patient are analyzed by the PMA system and then recommendations are generated) and said extracting means is application software (see column 2, lines 6-9; also see column 4, lines 16-19; where "application software" is read on "PMA III program"), said questions appear at a monitor

associated with said remote computer, and said answers are made with use of said monitor (see column Fig. 4A, 4B and 4C; also see column 3, lines 60-67; also see column 6, lines 13-16).

As to claim 7, <u>Brynjestad</u> as modified teaches the computerized ergonomics resource system, wherein said ergonomics resources (i.e. knowledge based expert systems) include at least one database in addition to any databases associated with said ergonomics programs (see Fig. 2 and Fig. 3).

As to claim 8, <u>Brynjestad</u> as modified teaches the computerized ergonomics-resource-system, wherein a user may access at least one database not maintained by said system (see column 4, lines 13-16; where database (26) is not maintained by the PMA system).

As to claim 9, <u>Brynjestad</u> as modified teaches the computerized ergonomics resource system, wherein a user may access to at least one ancillary database (see column 4, lines 13-16; where "ancillary database" is read on "database (26) providing information on drugs")

As to claim 10, <u>Brynjestad</u> as modified teaches the computerized ergonomics resource system, wherein said system is an expert system useful to laymen in the field of ergonomics (see column 3, lines 60-67; where a touch-screen for patient data input implies the computer is designed for laymen).

As to claim 11, <u>Brynjestad</u> as modified teaches the computerized ergonomics resource system, wherein said ergonomics programs (i.e. PMA programs) conform to government regulations (see column 5, lines 53-62; where "government regulations" is read on "based on World Health Organization").

As to claim 13, <u>Brynjestad</u> teaches media-borne ergonomics resource system wherein said extracted database may be made available (see column 4, lines 27-35).

Brynjestad does not expressly disclose in the form of a report.

Mears et al. discloses in the form of a report (see Mears et al., column 4, lines 47-52; where "report" is read on "information page").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Brynjestad</u> to include in the form of one or more reports.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Brynjestad</u> by the teachings of <u>Mears et al.</u>, because by having one or more reports, provides the ability to display information in a web browser (see <u>Mears et al.</u>, column 2, lines 16-21).

As to claim 19, <u>Brynjestad</u> teaches an ergonomics resource system comprising: an interactive web-site including certain ergonomics resources (see abstract; where "ergonomics resources" is read on "knowledge based expert systems"), said resources

including one or more ergonomics programs (i.e. PMA Patient Programs) (see column 2, lines 6-12; also see column 3, lines 41-51);

said web-site further including means for proposing certain questions to a user (see column 6, lines 9-11), obtaining answers thereto from a user (see column 6, lines 11-16), and analyzing said answers for certain ergonomics related information to define a work-site of interest (see column 6, lines 19-23; where "work-site of interest" is read on "treatment plan"); to means for extracting a specific ergonomics program related to said work-site of interest; and,

means for making at least a portion of said extracted ergonomics program (i.e. PMA program) available to a user (see column 3, lines 54-67).

Brynjestad does not expressly disclose in the form of one or more reports.

Mears et al. discloses in the form of one or more reports (see Mears et al., column 4, lines 47-52; where "report" is read on "information page").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Brynjestad to include in the form of one or more reports.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Brynjestad by the teachings of Mears et al., because by having one or more reports, provides the ability to display information in a web browser (see Mears et al., column 2, lines 16-21).

As to claim 20, Brynjestad as modified teaches an ergonomics resource system, wherein said system is an expert system useable by laymen in -the field of ergonomics (see column 3,



lines 60-67; where a touch-screen for patient data input implies the computer is designed for laymen).

As to claim 21, <u>Brynjestad</u> as modified teaches an ergonomics resource system, wherein each ergonomics program (i.e. PMA program) has one or more databases (see column 4, lines 32-34).

As to claim 22, <u>Brynjestad</u> teaches a method for providing ergonomics resources to a user, comprising the steps of:

creating a knowledge base in the form of one or more databases (see Fig. 1, element 26; also see column 3, lines 21-33), including at least one database relating to at least one ergonomics program (see Fig. 2 and Fig. 3; also see column 4, lines 13-16);

eliciting information from a user sufficient to define a work-site of interest (see column 4, lines 20-32; where "work-site of interest" is read on "therapy for pain");

employing said defined work-site of interest to determine an applicable, specific ergonomics program (i.e. PMA program) for said work-site of interest (i.e. treatment plan) (see column 3, line 34 through column 4, line 16); and with at least a portion of said specific ergonomics program, accessible by a user (see column 5, lines 7-18).

<u>Brynjestad</u> does not expressly disclose providing access to at least one report.

Mears et al. discloses providing access to at least one report (see Mears et al., column 4, lines 47-52; where "report" is read on "information page").

Art Unit: 2175

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Brynjestad</u> to include said report, observable at said remote computer.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Brynjestad</u> by the teachings of <u>Mears et al.</u>, because by having the report, observable at the remote computer, provides the ability to display information in a web browser (see <u>Mears et al.</u>, column 2, lines 16-21).

As to claim 23, <u>Brynjestad</u> as modified teaches the method for providing ergonomics resources to a user, wherein:

said knowledge base includes a plurality of databases (see column 4, lines 32-34), including a plurality of databases relating to a plurality of ergonomics programs (see Fig. 2 and Fig. 3) as well as databases not relating to said ergonomics programs (i.e. PMA programs) (see Fig. 1; also see column 4, lines 13-16); and,

said elicited information is obtained through at least one question and answer cycle and analysis relating thereto, employing application software (see column 5, lines 7-19; also see column 6, lines 9-16; where "application software" is read on "algorithm").

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of art with respect to knowledgebased expert systems in general:

U.S. Patent No. 5,908,383 to <u>Brynjestad</u> – teaches knowledge-based expert systems.

U.S. Patent No. 6,438,580 to Mears et al.- teaches reporting.

U.S. Patent No. 6,195,651 to <u>Handel et al.</u>- teaches expert systems.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prakash Punit whose telephone number is (703) 305-5914. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays – Fridays from 9:45 am to 6:15 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici, can be reached on (703) 305-3830. The fax numbers of the group is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Prakash Punit Patent Examiner Au 2175

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100** 

May 5, 2003